Minutes Keweenaw County Zoning Board of Appeals August 13, 2020 5:00 PM

Call to Order: The Chair called the meeting to order at 5:00 PM.

Roll Call: Mark Ahlborn, Chair

Mel Jones, Vice-Chair Frank Kastelic Steve Siira

Marty Faassen Ann Gasperich ZA

Members excused: Leslie duTemple

The Pledge of Allegiance was recited.

Agenda Approval and Additions: M/S Frank/Mel, voice vote, passed.

Minuets from January 10, 2020 M/S to include the dates and time in the minutes, Marty/Steve, voice vote, passed.

Guests: Doug and Melissa McKenzie, Don Keith

The Chari opened the Public Hearing for Douglas and Melissa McKenzie 4934 Lac La Belle Rd, Grant Township at 5:03PM.

Request for a variance to setback requirements of the ordinary high water mark, to construct a 20' x 36' addition to their home.

Applicant Comment: Doug and Melissa both spoke to their request, the history of the property and existing house.

There was no one to speak for Public Comment of Property Owners within 300' ** Two Written Comments were received and presented of Property Owners within 300', both in support and are attached to the minutes. Bausano and Owens.

ZBA Questions through the Chairman -

Design and style of additional retaining wall; Maintaining integrity of the shoreline; and

Improvements to the new foundation for stability to the existing structure.

The Chair closed the Public Hearing at 5:33.

New Business: Discussion, documentation, and determination of the McKenzie Findings of Fact

Minutes XXXXX

Keweenaw County Zoning Board of Appeals August 13, 2020 5:00 PM

:03	Call to Order:			
	Roll Call: Mark Ahlborn, Chair Frank Kastelic Marty Faassen Ann Gasperich ZA Mel Jones, Vice-Chair Steve Siira Leslie duTemple			
V.	Members excused: Leslie duTemple			
1	Pledge of Allegiance:			
V	Agenda Approval and Additions: FRANK MEL			
1	Minuets from January 10, 2020 include dates + times of meetings, MARTY steve			
	Minuets from January 10, 2020 include dated times of meetings, MARTY steve Guests: Douglast Melissa Mylanzie, isonkith			
	Open Public Hearing Douglas and Melissa McKenzie 4934 Lac La Belle Rd, Grant Township.			
	Request for a variance to setback requirements of the ordinary high water mark, to construct a 20^{\prime} x 36^{\prime} addition to their home.			
	Applicant Comment:			
	Public Comment Property Owners within 300′ ** In Support - NON F In Opposition			
	Written Comments Property Owners within 300'read from the Chair In Support - 1) Donna Bausano Z) Curlus Curls In Opposition - NONE			
	Public Comment from Interested or affected persons/organizations** In Support NONE In Opposition NONE			
	Written Comments from Interested or affected persons/organizations In Support - NONE In Opposition -			
	ZBA Questions through the Chairman Close Public Hearing			

New Business: Discussion, documentation, and determination of the McKenzie Findings of Fact

Section 19.13 FINDINGS OF FACT

The Board of Appeals shall grant no variance or make any determination on an appeal, Ordinance interpretation or other issue requested of it unless the Board records specific findings of fact based directly on the particular evidence presented to it. These findings of fact must support conclusions that the standards imposed by the requirements of this Ordinance have been met.

A. *Strict compliance with the Ordinance would unreasonably prevent the owner from using the for a permitted purpose, or would render conformity with such restrictions unnecessarily burdensome

with such restrictions unnecessarily burdensome			
Comments	Does this support the variance		
it would prevent their used they diene theopwilt were a compliance at the B. *The problem is due to a unique circumstance	Le of the property		
Comments	Does this support the variance		
They geology of the Lot allows for the reques	t. Yes		
C. The Specific conditions relating to the property are nature in the zoning district so as to require an am instead of a variance.			
Comments	Does this support the variance		
They are odding to an existing ugal non-conforming strong who are existing to an existing the developed Home regrest who ordinance amendment D. *The problem was not created by the action of	Hes Hure, a very ould be depied, recessors		
Comments	Does this support the variance		
The existing legal was conforming in 2001 addition.	non Conforming 5. This is an		
200011011	VES		
	()		

E. Granting of the variance will not cause a substantial adverse effect upon property values in the immediate vicinity, or in the district in which the property of the

it may increase local value. Yes

Does this support the variance

applicant is located.

Comments

F. The required variance will relate only to the propert applicant	y under the control of the			
Comments	Does this support the variance			
One specific Lot, One specific Action,	Yes			
G. The non-conforming dimensions of other lands, stru- zoning district shall not be considered grounds for t				
Comments	Does this support the variance			
Unique to this parcel.	Yes			
H. The variance is the minimum variance that will make possible the reasonable use of the land, building, or structure in the zoning district in which it is located.				
The proposed addition is not Any Closer to the OHWM.	Does this support the variance			
 *The variance would do substantial justice to the applicant as well as to other property owners in the district. 				
Comments It would allow the	Does this support the variance			
OWNERS to proceed with request. Neighbors Sent Lette	irs of support,			
3				

 The granting of the variance will ensure that the spirit of the ordinance is observed, public safety secured, and substantial justice applied. 				
For the Poundation and Seaw Will maintain the Spirit	Does this support the variance wall YES and Secure public safet			
K. The requested variance shall not amend the per- which it is located.	mitted uses of the zoning district in			
Comments	Does this support the variance			
This is an approved the a single family residence ! Right _ RR-B.	e Yes			
Motion by Frank Seconded by Ste	veto			
Affirm the Variance Request of setback from the ordinary high-water mark. As Submitted on the drawing with the application. To include the following Conditions: If approved, the following conditions be required to be satisfied prior to issuing a zoning permit: in accordance Certified drawing for the foundation and the Seawall by a PE.				
Roll Call Vote:	Signature MI PEAL			
Yes Ahlborn	Signature Man FOR			
Yes Jones	Signature Thela			
Yes Kastelic	Signature 4			
Y es Siira	Signature Sum Serra			
YeSFaasson	Signature Mal Jane			
Public Comment				
Next Meeting: October 8, 2020 at 5:00				
Motion to adjourn Wel 6'.23				